

Economics 113 Professor Spearot  
Introduction to Econometrics  
Fall 2008 – Final  
Name \_\_\_\_\_

## **Final – 115 Points**

You must answer all the questions. Please write your name on every page. The exam is closed book and closed notes. You may use calculators, but they must not be graphing calculators. Do not use your own scratch paper. And, have a nice holiday!!!!!!

### **You must show your work to receive full credit**

1a.) Congress is considering a “bailout” of the Big 3 Automakers. The worry is that if GM or Chrysler fail, then there would be severe consequences to the real economy. Although these numbers are fictitious, consider the following scenario. Suppose that the probability of a GM bankruptcy is 0.6 and the probability of a Chrysler bankruptcy 0.4. If these two events are independent of one another, what is the probability of GM OR Chrysler going bankrupt? **(10 Points)**

b.) Now consider the effect of a bailout on the probability of economic collapse (again, these numbers are made up). Suppose that the probability of a congressional bailout is 0.6. If congress approves a bailout, the probability of an economic collapse is 0.2. In contrast, if the congress does not approve a bailout, the probability of an economic collapse is 0.8. Given that a collapse occurred, what is probability that congress approved a bailout? **(10 Points)**

2. For this question, we will examine the determinants of fuel efficiency. To do so, we have downloaded the Fuel Efficiency Database from the EPA (excluding niche performance brands, such as Ferrari) for the year 2008. Included in this database is every vehicle available for purchase in the United States, the measured fuel efficiency, and other characteristics.

To start the analysis, we run the following regression

$$MPG = \beta_0 + \beta_{displ} displ + \beta_{cyl} cyl + \beta_{auto} auto + u$$

Here, *MPG* represents average miles per gallon travelled. Higher values imply greater efficiency. Further, *cyl* represents the number of cylinders in the engine, and *displ* represents the displacement of the engine in liters. Finally, *auto* is a dummy variable taking on the value of 1 if the vehicle has an automatic transmission, and 0 if it is a standard transmission (stick shift).

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	15366.7919	3	5122.26398	Number of obs =	1200	
Residual	7553.12472	1196	6.31532167	F( 3, 1196) =	811.09	
				Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.6705	
				Adj R-squared =	0.6696	
Total	22919.9167	1199	19.1158604	Root MSE =	2.513	

  

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
displ	-2.09063	.1343116	-15.57	0.000	-2.354143	-1.827117
cyl	-.6174908	.0990547	-6.23	0.000	-.811831	-.4231506
auto	-.0072103	.1794679	-0.04	0.968	-.3593172	.3448967
_cons	30.44108	.2883117	105.58	0.000	29.87543	31.00673

a.) Using the 95% confidence level, test whether the coefficient on automatic,  $\beta_{auto}$ , is significantly different from zero. Please state your null and alternative hypotheses, and briefly interpret the result. (10 Points)

b.) Professor Spearot just purchased a car with 6 cylinders rather than 4. Holding all other attributes equal, what is the effect of purchasing a car with two extra cylinders on fuel efficiency? **(5 Points)**

c.) Also with 6 cylinders, Professor Spearot's car has an automatic transmission and an engine with 3.4 liters of displacement. Please **DERIVE** an estimating equation that will enable you to predict fuel efficiency for this car, and the standard error of the prediction. Please also write the commands required to generate any new variables in STATA. **(10 Points)**

The model in part (a) is much too basic. To remedy this, I add in *truck* and *domestic*, where the former is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the vehicle is a truck (0 otherwise), and the latter is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the vehicle is domestic (0 otherwise).

$$MPG = \beta_0 + \beta_{displ} displ + \beta_{cyl} cyl + \beta_{auto} auto + \beta_{truck} truck + \beta_{dom} domestic + u$$

Note that the classification “truck” includes SUVs and vans. The results from estimating this equation are below:

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 1200		
Model	16490.9879	5	3298.19758	F( 5, 1194)	=	612.55
Residual	6428.92875	1194	5.38436243	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	22919.9167	1199	19.1158604	R-squared	=	0.7195
				Adj R-squared	=	0.7183
				Root MSE	=	2.3204

  

cmb	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
displ	-1.379712	.1386449	-9.95	0.000	-1.651726	-1.107697
cyl	-.9957897	.0974275	-10.22	0.000	-1.186938	-.8046416
auto	.3522417	.1682961	2.09	0.037	.0220527	.6824307
truck	-2.230827	.1563322	-14.27	0.000	-2.537544	-1.924111
domestic	.1960408	.1522806	1.29	0.198	-.1027266	.4948081
_cons	30.8768	.2699396	114.38	0.000	30.34719	31.40641

d.) Is this model preferred to the model in (a)? If a hypothesis test is warranted, test this hypothesis at the 95% level, stating your null and alternative hypotheses. If not, provide other evidence for your conclusion. **(10 Points)**

e.) Using a 90% confidence level, produce a confidence interval for the coefficient on *truck*,  $\beta_{truck}$ . Briefly interpret this interval. **(10 Points)**

f.) Trucks tend to be very heavy, and heavy vehicles tend to be less fuel-efficient. Since the EPA database does not include vehicle weight as a variable, weight is an omitted variable. In what direction is the bias? Can I be confident that the coefficient on *truck*,  $\beta_{truck}$ , will still be negative after accounting for this bias? **(10 Points)**

g.) Domestic vehicles are often claimed to be less fuel-efficient than their equivalent foreign counterparts. Interpret the coefficient on *domestic*,  $\beta_{dom}$ , and test whether it is significantly less than zero (you do not need a confidence level for this question). Is there evidence for such a claim? **(5 Points)**

h.) Using the regression from (d), Professor Spearot claims that the fuel efficiency for cars with automatic transmissions is different from those without. What is the probability that he is wrong? **(10 Points)**

Engines are an important determinant of fuel-efficiency, and thus, there may be an important interaction between engine displacement (*displ*) and the number of cylinders (*cyl*) contained within the engine. To examine this possibility, we estimate the following equation:

$$MPG = \beta_0 + \beta_{displ} displ + \beta_{cyl} cyl + \beta_{dc} displ * cyl + \beta_{auto} auto + \beta_{truck} truck + \beta_{dom} domestic + u$$

The results from estimating the specification in (h) equation are below:

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 1200		
Model	17081.4864	6	2846.9144	F( 6, 1193)	=	581.73
Residual	5838.43025	1193	4.89390633	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	22919.9167	1199	19.1158604	R-squared	=	0.7453
				Adj R-squared	=	0.7440
				Root MSE	=	2.2122

  

cmb	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
displ	-3.665261	.2465046	-14.87	0.000	-4.148892	-3.181631
cyl	-2.243822	.1467528	-15.29	0.000	-2.531745	-1.9559
displ*cyl	.341223	.031064	10.98	0.000	.280277	.4021691
auto	.4683869	.1607962	2.91	0.004	.1529122	.7838617
truck	-1.963128	.1510215	-13.00	0.000	-2.259426	-1.666831
domestic	.187432	.1451816	1.29	0.197	-.0974077	.4722717
_cons	38.37429	.729455	52.61	0.000	36.94314	39.80545

i.) **Derive** the effect of engine displacement (*displ*) on fuel efficiency (*MPG*). Is the interaction between engine displacement and cylinders significant? Test this hypothesis at the 99% level. **(10 Points)**



j.) Professor Spearot's car is black, but color does not affect fuel efficiency. Suppose that he includes *Color* in any of the above regressions. What (if any) are the effects of adding *color*? **(5 Points)**

k.) Suppose that the variance of unobservable factors affecting fuel efficiency increases with engine displacement. What problem is this and how do we correct for it? **(5 Points)**

l.) Suppose I run the following regression predicting the probability that a specific vehicle is domestic:

$$Domestic = \beta_0 + \beta_{displ} displ + \beta_{auto} auto + \beta_{truck} truck + u$$

I notice in the results that some predictions are negative. What should be done to correct for this problem? **(5 Points)**

**Extra Credit (5 points)**

Suppose that instead of the regression in (i), I ran the following:

$$MPG = \beta_0 + \beta_{displ} displ + \beta_{dc} displ * cyl + \beta_{auto} auto + \beta_{truck} truck + \beta_{dom} domestic + u$$

What problem am I introducing and why?



## Normal Distribution from $-\infty$ to $Z$

$Z$	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5832	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6664	0.6700	0.6736	0.6772	0.6808	0.6844	0.6879
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7422	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
0.8	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8413	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9554	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713	0.9719	0.9726	0.9732	0.9738	0.9744	0.9750	0.9756	0.9761	0.9767
2.0	0.9772	0.9778	0.9783	0.9788	0.9793	0.9798	0.9803	0.9808	0.9812	0.9817
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2	0.9861	0.9864	0.9868	0.9871	0.9875	0.9878	0.9881	0.9884	0.9887	0.9890
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
2.4	0.9918	0.9920	0.9922	0.9925	0.9927	0.9929	0.9931	0.9932	0.9934	0.9936
2.5	0.9938	0.9940	0.9941	0.9943	0.9945	0.9946	0.9948	0.9949	0.9951	0.9952
2.6	0.9953	0.9955	0.9956	0.9957	0.9959	0.9960	0.9961	0.9962	0.9963	0.9964
2.7	0.9965	0.9966	0.9967	0.9968	0.9969	0.9970	0.9971	0.9972	0.9973	0.9974
2.8	0.9974	0.9975	0.9976	0.9977	0.9977	0.9978	0.9979	0.9979	0.9980	0.9981
2.9	0.9981	0.9982	0.9982	0.9983	0.9984	0.9984	0.9985	0.9985	0.9986	0.9986
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990